Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie

Centro Direzionale Isola C4 80143 Napoli – Italy



Università degli Studi di Napoli "Parthenope"

www.uniparthenope.it

# Dottorato di Ricerca Internazionale / Cattedra UNESCO "Ambiente, Risorse e Sviluppo Sostenibile"

### Relazione sulle opinioni dei dottori di ricerca (cicli 34 e 35)

#### Anno 2023

#### Gruppo di Assicurazione della Qualità:

Prof. Pier Paolo Franzese (Coordinatore) Prof. Claudio Parente (Membro) Prof. ssa Elvira Buonocore (Membro) Prof.ssa Gaetana Napolitano (Membro) Ludovica Capasso (rappresentante degli studenti)

#### Relazione

Il questionario utilizzato per la rilevazione delle opinioni dei dottori di ricerca è stato prodotto sulla base della bozza fornita dall'ANVUR. Il questionario, organizzato in due sezioni principali (sezione A "indici qualitativi" e sezione B "indici quantitativi"), consta di n. 75 domande in lingua inglese ed è stato somministrato ai dottori di ricerca dei cicli 34 e 35, raccogliendo le risultanze in forma anonima attraverso la piattaforma Microsoft Forms.

Il questionario ha esplorato aspetti relativi a didattica, ricerca, esperienza di studio/ricerca all'estero, produzione scientifica, supervisione, supporto finanziario e organizzativo, disponibilità di spazi e strumentazioni per la ricerca e gestione delle procedure amministrative.

Il questionario, somministrato in data 31 ottobre 2023, ha registrato un tasso di risposta del 50% (5 risposte su 10 dottori di ricerca totali).

In relazione ai punti di forza, emerge che il Dottorato di Ricerca prevede un'attività di formazione e di training in lingua inglese che complessivamente registra un tasso di soddisfazione da parte dei dottori di ricerca del 100%. Per quanto concerne i periodi di studio e ricerca all'estero presso Università o altre istituzioni, si registra un tasso complessivo di soddisfazione del 100%. Inoltre, il 100% dei dottori di ricerca dichiara di essere soddisfatti dell'attività di supervisione da parte dei docenti e delle attività di ricerca e di tesi.

Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie

Centro Direzionale Isola C4 80143 Napoli – Italy



Università degli Studi di Napoli "Parthenope"

www.uniparthenope.it

Il 100% dei dottori di ricerca ha pubblicato articoli su riviste scientifiche e l'80% ha presentato i risultati della propria ricerca all'estero e il 100% dei dottori di ricerca ritiene che le aule e i laboratori di ricerca siano adeguati.


Infine, l'80% dei dottori di ricerca risulta pienamente soddisfatto del Dottorato di Ricerca e si iscriverebbe nuovamente al Dottorato di Ricerca e all'Università Parthenope.

In relazione ai punti di debolezza, non si evidenziano particolari criticità. Tuttavia, emerge che il 40% dei dottori di ricerca ritiene insufficienti le postazioni di lavoro. Il 40% dei dottori di ricerca dichiara di non aver ricevuto dai docenti un'adeguata informazione circo lo svolgimento del periodo all'estero mentre il 20% non risulta soddisfatto del supporto fornito dal personale amministrativo.

Infine, nonostante l'80% dei dottori di ricerca risulti pienamente soddisfatto del Dottorato di Ricerca, dal questionario emerge un forte interesse dei dottori di ricerca verso programmi di dottorato di ricerca all'estero.

Nelle pagine seguenti si allega una dettagliata analisi delle risposte dei dottori di ricerca.


# University of Naples "Parthenope" PhD graduates satisfaction questionnaire




2. Was this Ph.D. Programme your first choice? (0 punto)




Did the Ph.D. programme include structured educational activities (courses, (0 seminars, laboratories)?
punto)




4. Was the educational activity distinct from that given in teaching related to (0 Master's degree programs? punto)




5. Did the education activity include additional (in presence or online) training (0 courses for developing hard/soft skills? punto)




6. How many hours of structured educational activities did you take during (0 the entire Ph.D. program? punto)




7. Was there an evaluation process for these educational activities? (More than one answer possible)(0(0




8. How the advancement of research was monitored during the Ph.D. (0 program? (More than one answer possible) punto)




9. Have you presented the results of your thesis work or other research work (0 at conferences, workshops, summer schools, etc.? (more than one answer punto) possible)




10. Have you published or submitted for publication the results of your thesis (0 work or other research work (including those with other authors)?punto)



11. Did you take advantage of your personal budget of 10% provided by DM (0 226/2021 for supporting missions and research activities? punto)







14. For what reason did you not choose to spend periods of study or research (0 abroad during the Doctoral Program? (More than one answer possible) punto)



15. Did you spend periods of study or research, consistent with your PhD (0 project, at National Research Institutions, Companies, Public punto)
Administration during the PhD Program?








17. Why did you not choose to spend periods of study or research at National (0 Research Institutions, Enterprises, Public Administration during the punto) Doctoral Program? (More than one answer possible)







18. Was there a workstation (desk +pc) for each PhD student ? (0 punto)





19. Were you personally engaged in teaching or teaching support activities(0during your PhD program?punto)




20. If yes, how many hours in total over the entire doctoral cycle? (0 punto)










23. The training activities were comprehensive and consistent with the main (0 themes of the PhD Programme (i.e, Environment, Resources and punto) Sustainable Development).













25. The training activities were useful for the development of the PhD thesis (0 and for the management of your future career? punto)






26. The workload required by the structured training activities (courses, (0 seminars, laboratories) allowed me to adequately devote myself to punto) the development of my research project







27. Were ongoing evaluations (annual presentations, seminars, reports) useful (0 to monitor the advancement of your PhD studies?punto)













# 29. The research activities also significantly involved international faculties (0 and experts punto)





# 30. Overall, I am satisfied with the training activities offered. (0 punto)







#### 31. The thesis supervisor was helpful and available (0 punto)




















34. The thesis work was helpful to me in building a scientific collaboration(0network.punto)






35. Overall, I am satisfied with the research activities related to my thesis (0 punto)







36. The overall research activities I did were related to the main themes of the PhD thesis.(0 punto)













38. The workload required by these research activities allowed me to<br/>adequately devote myself to the educational activities and the thesis.(0punto)






39. The research activities were useful for me to learn how to structure and<br/>communicate the results of my work.(0<br/>punto)






40. Research activities have been useful to me in building a national scientific (0<br/>collaboration network.(0<br/>punto)






41. Research activities have been useful to me in building an international(0scientific collaboration network.punto)





# 42. The PhD Programme made me more capable of doing research(0independentlypunto)






https://forms.office.com/Pages/DesignPageV2.aspx?prevorigin...aCL0u2I5UQkRDRjVGSFA2R0hERIFaRFIxU05QMkNGNy4u&analysis=true Pagina 29 di 62


## 43. Overall, I am satisfied with my research activities. (0 punto)







44. During the PhD course, I received adequate information and support from (0faculty members about conducting experiences abroad.punto)




















47. I received adequate supervision for my thesis work during the period (0 abroad. punto)







48. The time abroad was useful for the development of the PhD thesis. (0 punto)













50. The research work done abroad was useful to me in building a network of<br/>scientific collaboration.(0<br/>punto)






51. Overall, I am satisfied with the period of study or research abroad. (0 punto)






52. During the PhD Programme, I received adequate information and support (0 from faculty members about carrying out experiences at other Institutions. punto)






53. The support received from the home university for the period of study or research at other (National Research Institutions/Companies/Public punto) Administration) institutions was satisfactory.






54. The support received from the host institution for the period of study or<br/>research at other Institutions was satisfactory.(0<br/>punto)






55. During the period at other Institutions, I received adequate supervision for (0 my thesis or research work. punto)






56. The research period at other Institutions was useful for the development (0 of the PhD thesis punto)







57. The research work done at other Institutions was useful for me to learn(0how to communicate the results of my work.punto)






















60. The teaching activities I did were useful to me in the formation process. (0 punto)






61. The workload required by the teaching activity I did allowed me to devote (0myself adequately to my educational, research and thesis activities.punto)







62. The classrooms and spaces used for the formation activity were adequate(0(you could watch, hear, and find places).punto)





















65. Library (online) services were adequate for my needs. (0 punto)






## 66. Computer equipment and internet connections were adequate for the<br/>activities performed(0<br/>punto)






## 67. Equipments required for research were adequate and accessible (0 punto)






68. I am satisfied with the support provided by the administrative staff (0 punto)






69. Information about educational and research activities/opportunities was (0 available punto)





















## 72. I am overall satisfied with the PhD Programme (0 punto)





73. If I could go back I would enroll in this PhD Program again. (0 punto)






https://forms.office.com/Pages/DesignPageV2.aspx?prevorigin...aCL0u2I5UQkRDRjVGSFA2R0hERIFaRFIxU05QMkNGNy4u&analysis=true Pagina 60 di 62


74. If I could go back I would choose the University of Naples "Parthenope" (0 again. punto)





75. If I could go back, I would choose a PhD course abroad. (0 punto)





76. Here you can insert textual comments, if any (0 punto)



Risposte più recenti